## Case 5:04-cv-04542-JF Document 16 Filed 07/05/05 Page 1 of 5

1	LATHAM & WATKINS LLP							
2	Steven D. Atlee (Bar No. 151025) David D. Johnson (Bar No. 204458) Keith J. Wesley (Bar No. 229276) 633 West Fifth Street, Suite 4000 Los Angeles, California 90071-2007 Telephone: (213) 485-1234 Facsimile: (213) 891-8763  **F-filed 7/5/05**							
3								
4								
5	Facsimile: (213) 891-8763	**E-filed 7/5/05**						
6	Attorneys for Plaintiff EASTECH ELECTRONICS (TAIWAN							
7	EASTECH ELECTRONICS (TALWAM), INC.							
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT							
9	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA							
10	San Jose Division							
11	Sui 300							
12								
13		Com Number CV04 04542 IE						
13	EASTECH ELECTRONICS (TAIWAN), INC., a Taiwan corporation,	Case Number: CV04-04542-JF  JOINT STIPULATION TO CONTINUE CASE MANAGEMENT						
15	Plaintiff,							
16	V.	CONFERENCE; DECLARATION OF KEITH J. WESLEY IN SUPPORT						
17	DIGITAL NETWORKS NORTH	THEREOF; PROPOSED ORDER						
18	AMERICA, INC., a Delaware corporation,							
19	Defendant.							
20								
21								
22								
23								
24								
25								
26								
27								
28								
WING.	1,11,410,005							

LATHAMAWATKINS LA\1448985.1
ATTORNEYS AT LAW
LOS ANGELES
035766-0004

## Case 5:04-cv-04542-JF Document 16 Filed 07/05/05 Page 2 of 5

. 1	Plaintiff Eastech Electronics (Taiwan), Inc. ("Eastech") and							
2	Defendant Digital Networks North America, Inc. ("DNNA") respectfully request							
3	that this Court grant the Order, attached hereto, continuing the date of the Case							
4	Management Conference currently scheduled for August 5, 2005. Both Eastech							
5	and DNNA stipulate to a continuance of that Conference until October 5, 2005, or							
6	until such other time that is convenient for the Court. Pursuant to Local Rule No.							
7	6-2(a), the factors necessitating this request are contained in the accompanying							
8	Declaration of Keith J. Wesley.	Declaration of Keith J. Wesley.						
9	Date: June 24, 2005	THAM & WATKINS LLP						
10								
11	the state of the s	2/19/1						
12	By:	Keith J. Wesley						
13								
14	1. 11	orneys for Plaintiff tech Electronics (Taiwan), Inc.						
15								
16								
17		LSBURY WINTHROP						
18		AW PITTMAN LLP						
19								
20								
21	By:	Daniel J. Richert						
22								
23	• 1	orneys for Defendant ital Networks North America, Inc.						
24								
25								
26	5							
27	7							
28								

bessend

2

DECLARATION OF KEITH J. WESLEY

3

4

5

6

7

oath.

8

9

10

11 12

13

14

15

16

17 18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

I am an attorney at the law firm of Latham & Watkins LLP, counsel to Plaintiff Eastech Electronics (Taiwan), Inc. ("Eastech") in the aboveentitled case. I have personal, first-hand knowledge of the facts set forth below,

I, Keith J. Wesley, am over the age of 18 and declare as follows:

and if called upon to do so, could and would testify competently thereto under

Eastech filed its Complaint against Digital Networks North 2.

America, Inc. ("DNNA") in this action on October 27, 2004. The Court and

parties engaged in an Initial Case Management Conference on April 1, 2005. This

case was referred to Magistrate Judge Howard R. Lloyd for a settlement

conference. A further Case Management Conference is scheduled to be held on

Friday, August 5, 2005.

Since the Initial Case Management Conference, counsel for 3.

both Eastech and DNNA have been working diligently to complete document

discovery. Depositions have yet to be scheduled in light of issues relating to

document discovery that have arisen and that the parties are working together to

resolve. Both Eastech and DNNA have indicated that once document discovery is

complete, they plan to schedule depositions of key witnesses.

The scheduling of depositions in this case is challenging

because Eastech's headquarters is in Asia and several key witnesses that both sides

intend to depose are located outside of the United States.

On or about June 16, counsel for Eastech and DNNA engaged 5.

in a phone conference to discuss discovery issues and the status of the case.

Counsel for Eastech and DNNA agreed that there is insufficient time between now

and August 5 to complete document discovery, take depositions, and participate in

a meaningful settlement conference. Counsel for Eastech and DNNA agreed that

settlement discussions will be more beneficial if they occur after discovery is

i i								
guarant	substantially complete. Counsel for Eastech and DNNA agreed that an additional							
2	60 days would likely provide the parties enough time to adequately prepare for and							
3	participate in meaningful settlement discussions.							
4	6. The parties have not previously requested any time							
5	modifications in this case.							
6	7. I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the United							
7	States of America that the foregoing is true and correct. Executed at Los Angeles,							
8	California on June 24, 2005.							
9								
10	Fell Caley							
11	Keith J. Wesley							
12								
13								
14								
15								
16								
17								
18								
19								
20								
21								
22								
23								
24								
25								
26								
27								
28								

C 1 - D 2 - D 2 - D 2 - D 2 - D 2 - D 2 - D 2 - D 2 - D 2 - D 2 - D 2 - D 2 - D 2 - D 2 - D 2 - D 2 - D 2 - D 2 - D 2 - D 2 - D 2 - D 2 - D 2 - D 2 - D 2 - D 2 - D 2 - D 2 - D 2 - D 2 - D 2 - D 2 - D 2 - D 2 - D 2 - D 2 - D 2 - D 2 - D 2 - D 2 - D 2 - D 2 - D 2 - D 2 - D 2 - D 2 - D 2 - D 2 - D 2 - D 2 - D 2 - D 2 - D 2 - D 2 - D 2 - D 2 - D 2 - D 2 - D 2 - D 2 - D 2 - D 2 - D 2 - D 2 - D 2 - D 2 - D 2 - D 2 - D 2 - D 2 - D 2 - D 2 - D 2 - D 2 - D 2 - D 2 - D 2 - D 2 - D 2 - D 2 - D 2 - D 2 - D 2 - D 2 - D 2 - D 2 - D 2 - D 2 - D 2 - D 2 - D 2 - D 2 - D 2 - D 2 - D 2 - D 2 - D 2 - D 2 - D 2 - D 2 - D 2 - D 2 - D 2 - D 2 - D 2 - D 2 - D 2 - D 2 - D 2 - D 2 - D 2 - D 2 - D 2 - D 2 - D 2 - D 2 - D 2 - D 2 - D 2 - D 2 - D 2 - D 2 - D 2 - D 2 - D 2 - D 2 - D 2 - D 2 - D 2 - D 2 - D 2 - D 2 - D 2 - D 2 - D 2 - D 2 - D 2 - D 2 - D 2 - D 2 - D 2 - D 2 - D 2 - D 2 - D 2 - D 2 - D 2 - D 2 - D 2 - D 2 - D 2 - D 2 - D 2 - D 2 - D 2 - D 2 - D 2 - D 2 - D 2 - D 2 - D 2 - D 2 - D 2 - D 2 - D 2 - D 2 - D 2 - D 2 - D 2 - D 2 - D 2 - D 2 - D 2 - D 2 - D 2 - D 2 - D 2 - D 2 - D 2 - D 2 - D 2 - D 2 - D 2 - D 2 - D 2 - D 2 - D 2 - D 2 - D 2 - D 2 - D 2 - D 2 - D 2 - D 2 - D 2 - D 2 - D 2 - D 2 - D 2 - D 2 - D 2 - D 2 - D 2 - D 2 - D 2 - D 2 - D 2 - D 2 - D 2 - D 2 - D 2 - D 2 - D 2 - D 2 - D 2 - D 2 - D 2 - D 2 - D 2 - D 2 - D 2 - D 2 - D 2 - D 2 - D 2 - D 2 - D 2 - D 2 - D 2 - D 2 - D 2 - D 2 - D 2 - D 2 - D 2 - D 2 - D 2 - D 2 - D 2 - D 2 - D 2 - D 2 - D 2 - D 2 - D 2 - D 2 - D 2 - D 2 - D 2 - D 2 - D 2 - D 2 - D 2 - D 2 - D 2 - D 2 - D 2 - D 2 - D 2 - D 2 - D 2 - D 2 - D 2 - D 2 - D 2 - D 2 - D 2 - D 2 - D 2 - D 2 - D 2 - D 2 - D 2 - D 2 - D 2 - D 2 - D 2 - D 2 - D 2 - D 2 - D 2 - D 2 - D 2 - D 2 - D 2 - D 2 - D 2 - D 2 - D 2 - D 2 - D 2 - D 2 - D 2 - D 2 - D 2 - D 2 - D 2 - D 2 - D 2 - D 2 - D 2 - D 2 - D 2 - D 2 - D 2 - D 2 - D 2 - D 2 - D 2 - D 2 - D 2 - D 2 - D 2 - D 2 - D 2 - D 2 - D 2 - D 2 - D 2 - D 2 - D 2 - D 2 - D 2 - D 2 - D 2 - D 2 - D 2 - D 2 - D 2 - D 2 - D 2 - D 2 - D 2 - D 2 - D 2 - D 2 - D 2 - D 2 - D 2 - D 2 -									
possosq	PROPOSED ORDER								
2	PURSUANT TO STIPULATION, IT IS SO ORDERED that the Case								
3		Management Conference in this matter is rescheduled to Oct. 7, 2005.							
4									
5	And the state of t								
6	Dated: 7/5/05  electronic signature authorized  Judge Jeremy Fogel							zed	
7									
8									
9	and the state of t								
10									
11									
12									
13									
14									
15									
16									
17									
18									
19	The state of the s								
20	A CONTRACTOR OF THE CONTRACTOR								
21									
<ul><li>22</li><li>23</li></ul>									
<ul><li>23</li><li>24</li></ul>	And the second s								
25	A A A West State Control of the Cont								
26	Management Control of the Control of								
27	Anna historia								
28									
-									